26

27

28

1 2 3 4 **E-FILED on** 9/12/12 5 6 7 IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 8 9 FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA SAN JOSE DIVISION 10 11 12 INNOVUS PRIME LLC., No. 12-CV-00660 RMW Plaintiff, 13 ORDER CLARIFYING PARTIES' 14 v. REQUIREMENTS RE SCHEDULING 15 PANASONIC CORPORATION, PANASONIC CORPORATION OF 16 AMERICA., 17 Defendants. 18 On August 31, 2012, the court held a hearing on plaintiff's Rule 56(d) motion. The court 19 continued the September 21, 2012 hearing on defendant's motion for summary judgment and ordered 20 the parties to meet and confer regarding the scheduling of discovery, as well as further briefing and a 21 hearing on defendant's summary judgment motion. The parties are to either stipulate to a schedule, 22 or if they cannot agree, to submit their respective proposals to the court. The September 21, 2012 23 summary judgment hearing is vacated. 24 It is so ordered. 25

DATED:\_\_\_\_9/12/12

Konald M. Whyte

RONALD M. WHYTE United States District Judge